

Last week: Space Complexity

$$L \subseteq NL \subseteq P \subseteq NP \subseteq PSPACE$$

We know $L \subsetneq PSPACE$ (in fact also
 $NL \subsetneq PSPACE$)

but suspect most (all?) inclusions
are strict.

$$\text{(i.e. } L \subsetneq NL \subsetneq P \subsetneq NP \subsetneq PSPACE \text{)}$$

Antagonist in today's lecture: NL

$$NL = \{ L \subseteq \{0,1\}^* \mid L \text{ decided by an} \\ \text{NTM running in} \\ \text{space } O(\log n) \}$$

Recall: Reductions in NL are defined via implicitly computable -table log-space functions

i.e. $f: \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^*$ such that

- ① $|f(x)| \leq \text{poly}(|x|)$
- ② $\{\langle x, i \rangle \mid f(x)_i = 1\} \in L$
- ③ $\{\langle x, i \rangle \mid i \leq |f(x)|\} \in L$

$A \leq_L B$: A reduces to B via an (implicitly computable) log-space reduction \nearrow log space

Propties: ① $A \leq_L B \ \& \ B \in L \Rightarrow A \in L$

② $A \leq_L B \ \& \ B \leq_L C \Rightarrow A \leq_L C$

Thm 2: $\text{Path} = \{ \langle G, s, t \rangle \mid \exists \text{ a path from } s \text{ to } t \text{ in } G \}$

is NL-complete. (via log-space reductions)

Need to show 2 things

① $\text{Path} \in \text{NL}$

② Any language $A \in \text{NL}$ reduces to Path .

For ②, fix $A \in \text{NL}$ & let M be an NTM using space $S(n) \leq O(\log n)$ deciding A .

Define the reduction as follows:

$$f: \{0,1\}^* \rightarrow \{0,1\}^*$$

$$f(x) = \langle G, s, t \rangle$$

Configuration graph of M on x Start Configuration

Configuration graph of M on x Start Configuration accept configurations

$$|f(x)| \leq O(|G| + \log S(n))$$

(assume $|x|=n$) $= O(|G| + \log n)$

Let us assume that we represent

G as an adjacency matrix.

$$|G| = O(|V(G)|^2) = 2^{O(\log n)} = \text{poly}(n)$$

$$\Rightarrow |f(x)| \leq \text{poly}(n)$$

The length of $f(x)$ is easily
computable in space $O(\log n)$

[Exercise]

Finally, to show f is implicitly

\log space computable, we need

to be able to compute any bit of

G, s or t in space $O(\log n)$.

→ s & t are easy (they are only
 $O(\log n)$ bits long)

→ For G , we need to recall that

for 2 vertices $u, v \in G$, we can
check if $(u, v) \in E(G)$ or not in

space $O(\log n)$. Finishes part

② of Thm 1.

On to ① in Thm 9.

→ Easy to do via NTMs.

→ Let's see another way, via
Certificates

Recall: $A \in NP$ if & only if

there is a DTM M (Verifier)
running in polynomial time such
that

$$x \in A \iff \exists y \quad |y| \leq \text{poly}(|x|) \text{ \& } \\ M(x, y) = 1.$$

How can we modify this to give
a similar characterization of

NL?

Two changes:

(i) Make M a DTM running in log space. (i.e. $\text{Space}(M, x) = O(\log n)$ where $n = |x|$)

(ii) Make the certificate read-once

i.e. the TM M receives the certificate on a new tape ("certificate tape") where the head can only move right.

[Without the "read-once" condition on the certificate tape, this definition actually captures all of NP.]

Claim: $A \in NL$ if & only if

there is a DTM M with a read-once certificate running in logspace such that

$$x \in A \iff \exists y \quad |y| \leq p_{log}(x)$$

$$M(x, y) = 1$$

input \leftarrow \rightarrow on certificate
tape tape

The proof is quite easy & sketched below:

(\Rightarrow) If $A \in NL$, then it has an NTM N in logspace. Use it to create

a DTM M that simulates N using the certificate tape to simulate the

non-deterministic choices

(\Leftarrow) Given a DTM M with certificates, we can create an NTM N simulating M by guessing the next bit on the certificate tape.

Showing Path $\in NL$ via certificates

To show $\langle G, s, t \rangle$ is in Path, the certificate is just a path (or more specifically, a walk) from s to t is

$\langle G \rangle$ with at most n vertices where $n = |V(G)|$. The machine M just needs to check

(a) the first vertex is s & the last is t

(b) if the path is $v_0 = s, v_1, \dots, v_k = t$ then $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E(G)$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$.

Finally, we are done with steps ①

& ② of Thm 1, meaning that

Path is NL-complete

→
We can now define the class

co-NL analogously to co-NP.

$$\text{co-NL} = \{A \mid \bar{A} \in \text{NL}\}$$

Since any $A \in \text{NL}$ reduces to Path,

$\bar{A} \in \text{co-NL}$ reduces to $\bar{\text{Path}}$

Thus, $\bar{\text{Path}}$ is co-NL complete

(using logspace reductions).

Like NP vs co-NP, we can also ask if $NL = co-NL$. Here, we have a surprising (?) answer.

Thm 2 (Immerman - Szepietowski theorem)
 $NL = co-NL$

To show this, we only need to show that $co-NL \subseteq NL$ (exercise!)

As \overline{Path} is co-NL complete, it is enough to show that

Thm 3: (also the I-S theorem)
 $\overline{Path} \in NL$.

Proof: Need to give a certificate

for $\langle G, s, t \rangle \in \overline{\text{Path}}$

(i.e. the certificate shows that

there is no path from s to t .)

Moreover, the certificate is checkable

by a DTM M that uses \log space

& reads the certificate on a read-

once tape.

Two steps:

① Assume we know

$c = \#$ of vertices reachable from s

& give a certificate for $\langle G, s, t \rangle \in \overline{\text{Path}}$

② Give a certificate for c

Step 1:

→ let $n = \#$ of vertices of G

& $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$

→ For vertex v_i :

→ A bit $b_i \in \{0, 1\}$ that tells us whether v_i is reachable from s or not

($b_i = 1 \Leftrightarrow v_i$ reachable)

→ If $b_i = 1$ (i.e. v_i supposedly reachable)

a path P_i from s to v_i .

→ (if $b_i = 0$, $P_i =$ empty)

The overall certificate

$(b_1, P_1, b_2, P_2, \dots, b_n, P_n)$

$O(\log n)$ bits

$O(n^2 \log n)$ bits

Verifying this certificate:

The verification process needs to check 3 things:

(i) $b_1 + \dots + b_n = C$


(ii) If $t = v_i$, $b_i = 0$

(iii) Each p_i gives a valid path from s to v_i .

Each can be checked in parallel by a log-space machine in read-once fashion!

Do all the three checks in parallel.

Takes space $O(\log n) \times 3 = O(\log n)$.



Step 2:

let $C_j = \{v_i \mid v_i \text{ reachable from } s \text{ by a path of length } \leq j\}$

Obs: $C_0 = \{s\} \subseteq C_1 \subseteq C_2 \dots \subseteq C_n$

& $|C_n| = c$

(any vertex reachable from s is reachable by path of length $\leq n$)

So $|C_0| = 1$ is known & we want to certify $|C_n| = c$.

For each $j < n$, we will certify $|C_{j+1}|$ given $|C_j|$.

Certificate:

→ For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ supposedly

→ a bit $b_i \in \{0, 1\}$ indicating

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underbrace{v_i \notin C_{j+1}}_{b_i = 0} & \text{or} & \underbrace{v_i \in C_{j+1}}_{b_i = 1} \end{array}$$

→ If $b_i = 1$, certify by a path of length $\leq j$

→ If $b_i = 0$, a certificate showing

$$v_i \notin C_{j+1} \quad (\text{next page})$$

Overall certificate

$$(b_1, \sigma_1, b_2, \sigma_2, \dots, b_n, \sigma_n)$$

certifying $v_i \notin C_{j+1}$ or $v_i \in C_{j+1}$

If everything is correct, $b_1 + \dots + b_n = |C_{j+1}|$.

Certifying $v_i \notin C_{j+1}$ gives $|C_j|$.

→ For each $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

→ a bit $b'_k \in \{0, 1\}$ that indicates if $v_k \in C_j$ or not.

→ If $b'_k = 1$, a path p_k from s to v_k of length $\leq j$.

So certificate = $(b'_1, p_1, \dots, b'_n, p_n)$

Verification:

(i) $b'_1 + b'_2 + \dots + b'_n = |C_j|$

(ii) v_k an in-neighbour of v_i
 $\Rightarrow b'_k = 0$.

(iii) $b'_k = 1 \Rightarrow p_k$ a path of length $\leq j$
from s to v_k .

Each can be done in \log -space as before!

Verification of overall certificate
for $|G_{j+1}|$ given $|G_j|$.

→ For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

→ If $b_i = 1$, check if σ_i is a
path from s to v_i of length \leq
 $j+1$

→ If $b_i = 0$, check σ_i as on the
previous page.

→ Compute $|G_{j+1}| = b_1 + \dots + b_{n+1}$.

Note: Space re-used between iterations.

The only additional space we need is

to store i, c_j , the current sum

$b_1 + \dots + b_i$



$O(\log n)$ bits.

Final certificate for path

$(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n, y)$

y_j - certifies $|G_j|$ given
 $|G_{j-1}|$

y - certifies $\langle G, s, t \rangle \in \overline{\text{Path}}$
given $|G_n| = c$.

$|y_j| = O(n^3 \log n)$

\Rightarrow Overall length = $O(n^4 \log n)$
= $\text{poly}(n)$.