

## Recap

$ACC^0(m_1, \dots, m_k)$  Constant-depth polynomial-size circuits with AND-, OR-, NOT-, and  $MOD_{m_i}$ -gates

$$MOD_m(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_i x_i \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Notation  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \{0, 1\}^n$

As usual, dimension  $n$  understood from context

Our focus  $ACC^0(3)$  — only  $MOD_3$ -gates

THEOREM

PARITY  $\notin ACC^0(3)$

Proof by Razborov-Smolensky

METHOD OF APPROXIMATIONS

- ① Show small circuits in  $ACC^0(3)$  well approximated by low-degree  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -polynomials
- ② Show that PARITY function cannot be approximated in this way

Did ① last lecture

COROLLARY 7 For any circuit  $C$  over  $\{\wedge, \vee, \neg, MOD_3\}$  of size  $s$  and depth  $d$  and for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  there exists an  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -polynomial  $p_C$  of degree  $\leq (2k)^d$

such that

$$\Pr_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} [C(x) \neq p(x)] \leq \frac{s}{3k}$$

Challenge: Exact representation of 1 and  $v$  requires high degree

$OR_n(x)$  represented by

$$p(x) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i)$$

(and not possible to do lower degree, though we did not prove this)

Solution: For random  $v \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$

$\left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n v_i x_i \right)^2 \right]$  computes  $OR_n$

with error probability  $\leq \frac{1}{3}$  (over  $v$ , for all  $x$ )

Amplify success probability by taking  $k$  copies

$$p'(x) = 1 - \prod_{j=1}^k \left( 1 - \left( \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^{(j)} x_i \right)^2 \right)$$

has degree  $2k$

error  $\leq 1/3^k$

This lecture we will prove:

LEMMA 8 There exists constant  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $n$  large enough it holds for all  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -polynomials  $p$  of degree  $\leq \sqrt{n}$  that

$$\Pr_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} [p(x) \neq \text{PARITY}(x)] \geq \delta$$

Let us assume Lemma 8 and prove PARITY  $\notin$  ACC<sup>0</sup>(3)

We know:

- ① For all  $C \in \text{ACC}^0(3)$  of size  $s$  and degree  $d$  there is degree  $-(2k)^d$  polynomial  $p$  such that

$$\Pr_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} [C(x) \neq p(x)] \leq s/3^k$$

- ② There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $C$  computes PARITY, then for all polynomials  $p$  of degree  $\leq \sqrt{n}$  it holds that

$$\Pr_{x \in \{0,1\}^n} [C(x) \neq p(x)] \geq \delta$$

Choose  $k$  as large as possible so that

$$(2k)^d \leq \sqrt{n}$$

that is

$$k := \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2d}}}{2}$$

(technically speaking, we should round down to integer, but this doesn't matter and so we will be sloppy)

① and ② together now yield

$$\delta \leq \Pr_x [C(x) \neq p(x)] \leq s/3^k$$

or

$$s \geq \delta \cdot 3^k = \delta \cdot 3^{n^{1/2d}} / 2 = \exp(-\Omega(n^\gamma))$$

for some constant  $\gamma > 0$  as long as  $d = O(1)$ .  $\square$

We just need to prove Lemma 8.  
To do so, we will make a detour

**DETOUR:** Useful ways of thinking about Boolean functions  
Usually

$$f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \text{ false} \\ 1 \text{ true} \end{array}$$

Can instead work in  $\{-1, +1\}$

$$\begin{array}{l} 1 \text{ false} \\ -1 \text{ true} \end{array}$$

$$x_i \mapsto (-1)^{x_i} = y_i$$

$$\tilde{f}: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$$

This is an affine transformation

$$\begin{cases} y_i = 1 - 2x_i \\ x_i = \frac{1 - y_i}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{f} = (-1)^f$$

$$\widetilde{\text{PARITY}}(y) = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i$$

$$= \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if odd } \# y_i = -1 \\ +1 & \text{if even } \# y_i = -1 \end{cases}$$

### OBSERVATIONS

① If  $p(x)$  computes  $f(x)$ , then

$$\tilde{p}(y) = 1 - 2p\left(\frac{1-y_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1-y_n}{2}\right)$$

computes  $\tilde{f}(y)$

Doesn't change degree

② W.l.o.g. can represent functions

$$f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$$

and

$$\tilde{f}: \{-1, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$$

as MULTILINEAR (a.k.a. SQUARE-FREE)  
POLYNOMIALS, i.e., degrees of individual  
variables  $\leq 1$

$$x_i \in \{0, 1\} \Rightarrow x_i^2 = x_i$$

$$y_i \in \{\pm 1\} \Rightarrow y_i^2 = 1$$

**FACT 9** Every  $f: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  has  
UNIQUE REPRESENTATION as multilinear  
 $\mathbb{F}_2$  polynomial

Remark: Nothing special for  $\mathbb{F}_2$  - holds for  
any field.

Proof: Multilinear monomials:

(a)  $2^n$  of them

(b) span space of all functions

$$\{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$$

(c) this space has dimension  $2^n$

} so  
basis

For  $\alpha \in \{0,1\}^n$ , define

$$\underline{I_\alpha(x)} = \prod_{i:\alpha_i=1} x_i \prod_{j:\alpha_j=0} (1-x_j)$$

$$\underline{I_\alpha(x)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = \alpha \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \{0,1\}^n} f(\alpha) \cdot I_\alpha(x)$$


Clearly  $I_\alpha(x)$  can be written as linear combination of monomials

Proves (b)

[Can also argue that monomials are linearly independent, but we don't need this since (span) + (dimension correct)  $\Rightarrow$  basis]

$$\widetilde{\text{PARITY}}(y) = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i$$

$$\text{Hence } \underline{\text{PARITY}(x) = \frac{1 - \prod_{i=1}^n (1-2x_i)}{2}}$$

This is the unique multilinear polynomial computing parity. DEGREE  $n$  

Clearly has monomial  $\prod_{i=1}^n x_i$  — cannot be cancelled by other terms when product expanded

So representing PARITY exactly  
as  $\mathbb{F}_3$  polynomial requires degree  $n$

But we are only able to approximate  
so not yet done...

END OF DETOUR

Back to proof of Lemma 8

Suppose  $p(x)$  approximates PARITY well.

Then

$$\tilde{p}(y) = 1 - 2p\left(\frac{1-y_1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1-y_n}{2}\right)$$

approximates PARITY exactly as  
well

And the degree doesn't increase

If  $\tilde{p}$  of degree  $d$  computes  
PARITY correctly on  $\tilde{T} \subseteq \{\pm 1\}^n$   
then this can be used to compute  
all functions

$$\tilde{f} : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3$$

correctly in degree just  $\frac{n}{2} + d$ .

This is surprisingly low degree...

Suggesting that  $\tilde{T}$  cannot be  
too large.

Let's first prove our claim formally.

LEMMA 10 Suppose for  $\tilde{T} \subseteq \{\pm 1\}^n$  that exists degree-d polynomial  $\tilde{p}$  such that

$$\forall y \in \tilde{T} \quad \widehat{\text{PARITY}}(y) = \tilde{p}(y).$$

Then for all

$$\tilde{f}: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3$$

there is a degree -  $(\frac{n}{2} + d)$  polynomial  $\tilde{p}_{\tilde{f}}$  such that

$$\forall y \in \tilde{T} \quad \tilde{f}(y) = \tilde{p}_{\tilde{f}}(y)$$

Proof Note that for any  $S \subseteq [n]$  it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i \in S} y_i &= \prod_{i \in [n]} y_i \cdot \prod_{i \in [n] \setminus S} y_i \\ &= \prod_{i \in [n] \setminus S} y_i^2 \cdot \prod_{i \in S} y_i \quad (+) \\ &= 1 \cdot \prod_{i \in S} y_i \end{aligned}$$

Note also that the monomials

$$\left\{ \prod_{i \in S} y_i \mid S \subseteq [n] \right\} \quad (+)$$

form a basis for the space

$$\left\{ \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3 \right\}$$

also



Use what we proved before for

$\{ \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\} \}$  + affine transformation

Or argue directly with indicator functions

$$\tilde{I}_\beta(y) = \prod_i \left( 1 - \frac{(y_i - \beta_i)^2}{4} \right)$$

for  $\beta \in \{\pm 1\}^n$

$$\tilde{I}_\beta(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y = \beta \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

So any  $\tilde{f}: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  can be written as

$$\tilde{f}(y) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n]} c_S \prod_{i \in S} y_i \quad (*)$$

for some constants  $c_S \in \mathbb{F}_3$  by (\*)

And for set  $\tilde{T}$  we have that

$$\tilde{p}(y) = \text{PARITY}(y) = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i$$

so for  $y \in \tilde{T}$  we get

$$\tilde{f}(y) = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [n] \\ |S| \leq n/2}} c_S \prod_{i \in S} y_i + \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq [n] \\ |S| > n/2}} c_S \tilde{p}(y) \prod_{i \notin S} y_i \quad (**)$$

which is a polynomial of degree  $\leq \frac{n}{2} + d$  that computes  $\tilde{f}$  correctly on  $\tilde{T}$   $\square$

Let's continue proof of Lemma 8.

Assume  $p: \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3$  polynomial of degree  $\leq \sqrt{n}$  that agrees with PARITY on  $T \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$

Then  $\tilde{p}$  of degree  $\leq \sqrt{n}$  agrees with PARITY on corresponding set  $\tilde{T} \subseteq \{\pm 1\}^n$

And by Lemma 10 we can use  $\tilde{p}$  to compute every  $f: \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_3$  correctly on  $\tilde{T}$  using just  $(\frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{n})$ -degree polynomial.

Time to look more closely at  $\tilde{T}$  and do some counting.

# distinct functions when restricted to  $\tilde{T}$  is  $|\{\tilde{T} \mapsto \mathbb{F}_3\}| = 3^{|\tilde{T}|}$  (1)

Every such function computed correctly on  $\tilde{T}$  by distinct multilinear polynomial of degree  $\leq \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{n}$

How many such polynomials are there?

Spikes alert: Not too many...

So  $\tilde{T}$  cannot be too large.

Which is what Lemma 8 says.

CLAIM 11 The number of multilinear monomials of degree  $\leq \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{n}$  in  $n$  variables is

$$|\{S \subseteq [n] \mid |S| \leq \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{n}\}| = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{n}} \binom{n}{i} \leq (1-\delta) 2^n$$

for some  $\delta > 0$  if  $n$  large enough

Why is this true?

MORALLY: Flip  $n$  coins. How many heads do we expect?  $n/2$ , of course.

Except we won't get exactly  $n/2$

standard deviation  $\approx \sqrt{n}$

Means that with constant probability

$\delta$  expect to see  $\geq \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{n}$  heads

Number of such outcomes  $\geq$

$$\geq \delta \cdot (\text{total \# outcomes}) = \delta \cdot 2^n$$

But this is exactly the inequality above

PROVABLY Do the calculations...

Several different ways of doing this

Given Claim 11, # multilinear polynomials  
of degree  $\leq \frac{n}{2} + \sqrt{n}$  is


$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{\# coefficient options})^{\text{\# monomials}} \leq \\ & \leq 3(1-\delta)2^n \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$


Combining (1) and (2), we get

$$3^{|\tilde{T}|} \leq 3(1-\delta)2^n$$

$$|\tilde{T}| \leq (1-\delta)2^n$$

$\tilde{p}$  and PARITY disagree outside of  $\tilde{T}$ ,  
i.e., on  $\geq \delta$ -fraction of inputs.

Hence, so do  $p$  and PARITY, and  
the lemma follows 

DONE WITH PARITY & ACC<sup>o</sup>(3) 

With similar methods, can prove for  
any primes  $p \neq q$  that

$$\text{MOD}_p \notin \text{ACC}^o(q)$$

But already for  $\text{ACC}^o(2,3) = \text{ACC}^o(6)$

cannot rule out that  $\text{NP} \in \text{ACC}^o(6)$  

Why is proving circuit lower bounds so hard?!

One answer:

Razborov - Rudich 1997 "Natural Proofs"

But seems mostly fair to say we don't know...